In The Supreme Court of the United States

IN RE: LEE KENT HEMPFLING ET. UX.

LEE KENT HEMPFLING, Pro Se, SUESIE KENT HEMPFLING, Pro Se: APPLICANTS,

V .

UNITED STATES, RESPONDENT

ON ORIGINAL JURISDICTION WRIT OF MANDAMUS UNDER THE ALL WRITS ACT, TO THE FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS, THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND THE ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT OF PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

LEE KENT HEMPFLING, PRO SE & SUESIE KENT HEMPFLING: PRO SE PO BOX 4291 APACHE JUNCTION, AZ 85178 480-791-8620 LKH@LEEHEMPFLING.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	1
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	2
PETITION FOR REHEARING	4
ARGUMENT	10
REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING	18
CONCLUSION	19
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL OF RECORD	20

Page | 1 PETITION FOR REHEARING in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Statutes

§ 234.6 - Interfering with agency functions. (a)(c)(d)10
§ 2635.702 Use of public office for private gain. Since the agreement was
consummated in fraud and extortion (b) Appearance of governmental sanction 7
18 U.S. Code § 208 - Acts affecting a personal financial interest11
18 U.S. Code § 872 - Extortion by officers or employees of the United States11
18 USC 1752(a)(2) with a reckless disregard for consequences10
18 USC 205 Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other
matters affecting the Government.
28 U.S. Code § 1338
5 CFR § 2635.502 - Personal and business relationships. (a) not impartial,11
N.C. ARTICLE 20 - Frauds. [RTF] § 14-118.4. inside Exhibit 2-D (Extortion)14
N.C. ARTICLE 30 - Obstructing Justice.; [RTF] § 14-221.2. inside Exhibit 2-D
(Altering court documents or entering unauthorized judgments);14
S.C.: Title 16 CHAPTER 5. Sec, 16-5-20 inside Exhibit 2-D (Punishment for
commission of additional crimes.);13
S.C.: Title 16 CHAPTER 5. Sec. 16-5-10 inside Exhibit 2-D (Conspiracy against
civil rights.);
The Hobbs Act
Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights
TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 2426
Title 18, U.S.C., Section 245 - Federally Protected Activities 1(b)
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 1 Sec. 1. Sec. 2. inside Exhibit 2-D (Aiding and
Abetting);12
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 1 Section 3 inside Exhibit 2-D (Accessory after
the fact); N.C. ARTICLE 60 - Computer-Related Crime. [RTF] § 14-457. inside
Exhibit 2-D (Extortion);
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 101 Sec. 2071. inside Exhibit 2-D (Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally of RECORDSAND REPORTS);
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 13 Sec. 241 inside Exhibit 2-D (Conspiracy against rights);
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 13 Sec. 242 inside Exhibit 2-D (Deprivation of rights under color of law);
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 13 Sec. 245 inside Exhibit 2-D (Federally
protected activities};
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 19 Sec. 371 inside Exhibit 2-D (Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud United States):

Page | 2 PETITION FOR REHEARING in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 41 Sec. 872 inside Exhibit 2-D (Extortion by
officers or employees of the United States)' U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 41
Sec. 875 inside Exhibit 2-D (Interstate communications);
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 47 Sec. 1001. (False Statements – Statements or
entries generally);13
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 47 Sec. 1017 (Government seals wrongfully used
and instruments wrongfully sealed);
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 63 Sec. 1343 inside Exhibit 2-D (Fraud by wire,
radio, or television)12
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 73 Sec. 1512. inside Exhibit 2-D (Tampering
with a witness, victim, or an informant);13
U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 95 Sec. 1957. inside Exhibit 2-D (Engaging in
monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity);13
U.S. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII), inside Exhibit 2-D;
ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS SEC. 2000e-5. [Section 706] (b),(I (1));13
Other Authorities
Exhibit 2-D Information For Demand For Grand Jury Investigation And
Indictments And Prosecution For Crimes State And Federal Submitted:
January 13, 2004 Amended & Updated March 10, 20048
The August 2019 US Courts Rule of Law why it matters series:15
University of Chicago's Institute of Politics17
Rules
Rule 44.2

PETITION FOR REHEARING

"The exposure and punishment of public corruption is an honor to a nation, not a disgrace. The shame lies in toleration, not in correction.... If we fail to do all that in us lies to stamp out corruption we can not escape our share of responsibility for the guilt. The first requisite of successful self-government is unflinching enforcement of the law and the cutting out of corruption."

Theodore Roosevelt, State of the Union Address, December 7, 1903¹

Petitioners bring this Petition for Rehearing under U.S. Supreme Court Rule 44.2 because "substantial grounds not previously presented" compel this Court to invoke original jurisdiction of a case involving deprivation of rights through an uncovered massively coordinated corruption in many areas of the Federal Government. That 'greater justice' cannot be uncovered in any other way. Pursuant to Rule 44 of this Court, the Petitioners hereby respectfully petition for re-hearing of this case before a full nine-Member Court.

Pillar three of the President's "Implementing the United States Strategy on Countering Corruption: Accomplishments and Renewed Commitment in the Year of Action" is "Holding Corrupt Actors Accountable". This case divulges and details multiple avenues of corruption in South Carolina, North Carolina, Washington DC, and Arizona. Where Petitioners are

Page | 4
PETITION FOR REHEARING
in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

¹ https://www.naag.org/issues/anticorruption/

 $^{^2}$ https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/03/29/fact-sheet-implementing-the-united-states-strategy-on-countering-corruption-accomplishments-and-renewed-commitment-in-the-year-of-action/

seeking release of their constitutionally protected redresses of grievance, the Court itself has been shown areas of concern only addressable by the Court. Without the granting of the Petition for Mandamus, Holding Corrupt Actors Accountable will not be possible. No criminal charges can be filed on any of the following as they have far exceeded statues of limitations. Nonetheless, now that the nation has learned about these corruption schemes, it is highly important that these mechanisms are not permitted to continue.

Lee Kent Hempfling's EEOC charge complaint³ was illegally sacrificed⁴ to reach a settlement⁵ with the LM Communications companies at the engagement of 'direct action'⁶, taken by the South Carolina NAACP⁷, represented by the Rev Joseph Darby (Darby), who learned about the situation from Patricia Thompson⁸ who met with Darby to explain her case⁹.

When Thompson¹⁰ handed Darby the graphic image of Yassar Arafat¹¹ threatening: "This man would love to kiss yo cheeks!" the moment he saw it, he turned to the phone and dialed a number he already knew¹². That engagement started a massive, multiple agency, collection of outside control of government functions. Complete interference and fraud upon the United States of America and the Petitioners.

³ Exhibit 2-Y Lee Kent Hempfling's EEOC Form 5

8-29-2002

Page | 5
PETITION FOR REHEARING

in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

⁴ Exhibit 2-LL EEOC DISMISSAL

⁵ Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights

⁶ Exhibit "JJ" Rev. Joseph Darby Direct Action

⁷ Exhibit 2-A Press release: 2/9/2004 Criminal Corruption and Coverup In The Administration of the NAACP Back Door Into The EEOC Controls Justice. Radio Ownership Files Fraudulent EEO Report.

⁸ Exhibit 2-PP Case brief presented to Billy Sanders, 8/20/2003 276

⁹ Confided to Suesie Kent Hempfling.

¹⁰ Exhibit 2-OO TRISH THOMPSON LETTER TO EEOC 8/1/2002

¹¹ Exhibit 2-KK YASSAR ARAFAT THREATENING IMAGE 4/23/2002

¹² Exhibit "JJ" Rev. Joseph Darby Direct Action

Darby invoked the use of 'direct action'¹³ in dealing with the EEOC¹⁴. Direct Action has been a reference to marches, protests and the like. Both legal and not legal. Both peaceful and not peaceful. But, it has never been openly used to describe dealing with a regulating agency's lawful processes and the procedures inside of the Executive Branch¹⁵.

The term 'direct action' was used to denote intervention in the regulatory processes of a United States Executive Branch Agency. As the Direct Action Movement defines it:¹⁶ "Direct Action is: a category of activism in which participants act directly ignoring established or institutionalized political and social procedures. Operating within the boundaries of laws and demonstrating peacefulness <u>are not elements of direct action</u>." Direct Action in this context can only mean: "EXTORTION"¹⁷

The Hobbs Act forbids extortionate conduct that "in any way or degree obstructs, delays, or affects commerce or the movement of any article or commodity in commerce" and covers actual extortion, attempts, or conspiracies to do so. "Extortion is defined in the Hobbs Act as 'the obtaining of property from another, with his consent, induced by wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear, or under color of official right.¹⁸" The "property" at issue in a Hobbs Act extortion violation must be "something of value from the victim that can be exercised, transferred, or sold." The entire EEOC process was corrupt.

_

Page | 6
PETITION FOR REHEARING
in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

¹³ Defined by Dictionary.Com as "the use of strikes, <u>demonstrations</u>, or other public forms of protest rather than <u>negotiation</u> to achieve one's demands." Using this term to describe the way the SC NAACP would deal with the EEOC: ignores that the process IS a negotiation.

¹⁴ Exhibit "JJ" Rev. Joseph Darby Direct Action

¹⁵ Title 18, U.S.C., Section 245 - Federally Protected Activities 1(b)

¹⁶ https://www.thedirectactionmovement.com/what-is-direct-action

¹⁷ https://www.dictionary.com/browse/shakedown

¹⁸ TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242

At the control of the SC NAACP¹⁹, represented by NAACP member government employee Billy Sanders (Sanders)²⁰, EEOC Program Manager: Thompson (Thompson)²¹ and the LM Communications companies of Kentucky, and South Carolina entered into a settlement agreement, across state lines, that required the Hempfling case to no longer be a thorn in any government agency²². This was business conducted in North Carolina, South Carolina, Washington D.C., and Kentucky.

To accomplish that the FCC, the FBI²³, the EEOC²⁴, and the DOJ Civil Rights Division had to be managed²⁵. Complaints had to be lost or destroyed or ignored. The scheme put in place with the Darby phone call literally lit up the connections between the agencies. Controlled by the SC NAACP.

Both parties²⁶ to the settlement suffered from financial and licensure weaknesses which make them highly likely to be affected by such persuasion, and the party exercising the persuasion (official federal employee operating under the color of law) is someone in a special relationship with the victim (Sanders really played Thomson) that makes the victim especially susceptible to such.

Catching both parties in a weakened or vulnerable state: Sanders²⁷ and the SC NAACP took advantage of that condition by creating an unusual and

Page | 7
PETITION FOR REHEARING

in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

¹⁹ A non-governmental organization (NGO) is an organization that generally is formed independent from government. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-governmental_organization

²⁰ Exhibit 2-Z Billy C. Sanders EEOC business cards

²¹ Exhibit 2-GG Copy of Email from Thompson to Sanders 8-4-2002

²² Exhibit 2-EE 3-16-2004 Dismissal letter From Sanders

²³ Exhibit 2-CC Complaint letter about FBI O'Neill response

²⁴ Exhibit 2-X EEOC response 2-6-2004

²⁵ Title 18, U.S.C., Section 245 - Federally Protected Activities 1(b)

 $^{^{26}}$ Exhibit 2-BB Feb 2004 Letter from Patricia Thompson to Lynn Martin

²⁷ § 2635.702 Use of public office for private gain. Since the agreement was consummated in fraud and extortion (b) Appearance of governmental sanction.

unique transaction based in undue influence²⁸: a settlement for Thompson that destroyed Hempfling's complaint through a misuse of position. A much larger problem²⁹ had to be cleared before a settlement could be made that gave preferential treatment in settlement amount and terms. What was the price to destroy complaints at the FBI³⁰, the DOJ³¹, the FCC³² and the EEOC³³: all at the same time?

A much greater justice is waiting.

The FBI^{34} received a full official complaint and ignored it³⁵ by declaring it was a duplication of something else.³⁶

The FCC³⁷ received full official complaints³⁸ FOUR TIMES³⁹. They managed to lose or ignore them all⁴⁰.

The USDOJ Civil Rights Division⁴¹ flat out lied⁴²: claimed to have accessed and read secure evidence. No one ever did. No one. Not one governmental agency or office or person bothered with this case except: J. Strohm Thurmond Jr.⁴³ A year later Thurmond left the office. An unauthorized

Page | 8 PETITION FOR REHEARING

in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

²⁸ American Bar Association and American Psychological Association: Undue influence is influence that amounts to deception, force or coercion that destroys a person's free agency (American Bar Association and American Psychological Association, 2008).

²⁹ Exhibit 2-D Information For Demand For Grand Jury Investigation And Indictments And Prosecution For Crimes State And Federal Submitted: January 13, 2004 Amended & Updated March 10, 2004

³⁰ Exhibit 2-B Letter Mr. Tom O'Neill Chief Division Council FBI

³¹ Exhibit 2-W USDOJ Civil Rights Divisions blows it off 2/2/2004

³² Exhibit 2-T FCC Complaint (Ignored 4 times)

³³ Exhibit 2-OO TRISH THOMPSON LETTER TO EEOC 8/1/2002

³⁴ Exhibit 2-U US Attorney Refers Allegations to Chief Div Counsel FBI

³⁵ Exhibit 2-V FBI Response 3/12/2004

³⁶ Exhibit 2-C Letter from Nancy Wicker SC USAG

³⁷ Exhibit 2-T FCC Complaint (Ignored 4 times)

³⁸ Exhibit 2-DD FCC letter 3-10-2004 Complaint

³⁹ Exhibit 2-FF FCC Violations

⁴⁰ Exhibit 2-DD FCC letter 3-10-2004 Complaint

⁴¹ Exhibit 2-W USDOJ Civil Rights Divisions blows it off

⁴² Exhibit 2-W USDOJ Civil Rights Divisions blows it off 2/2/2004

⁴³ Exhibit 2-U US Attorney Refers Allegations to Chief Div Counsel FBI 2/11/2004

access from North Carolina was attempted using Carrie Dominguez's private access code.

Attempts have been made over the many years to garner attention from someone, anyone who could do something about systemic corruption in government. We have never given up and will never stop trying to reach the greater justice.

Senator Lindsey Graham⁴⁴ was contacted as the Senator⁴⁵ from the state most of the crimes happened in. The complaint about the illegal acts of EEOC and NAACP were sent to his Mt Pleasant Office. But the office didn't want it sent in the mail. Jean Price, an assistant in Graham's Mt Pleasant South Carolina office, wanted to drive to our home and pick it up. That was not going to happen. It took 5 months of talking and pleading before Jean Price took her own money and went outside of the government to mail the complaint about the EEOC⁴⁶ TO the EEOC⁴⁷. No response was ever forthcoming from the FOIA request⁴⁸. It too was ignored. But the U.S. Senate Ethics committee was curious⁴⁹.

The Office of Government Ethics was a waste of time.⁵⁰

Local news media likewise, was silent.⁵¹

Page | 9
PETITION FOR REHEARING
in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

⁴⁴ Exhibit 2-F FOIA Graham News Release March 3, 2004 FOIA Senator Lyndsey Graham.

⁴⁵ 2-E FOIA EEOC News Release March 9, 2004 FOIA Senator Lyndsey Graham.

⁴⁶ Exhibit 2-G Senator Graham response 8-7-2003

⁴⁷ Exhibit 2-R Senator Graham response 10-29-2003

⁴⁸ Exhibit 2-MM RICHARD PERRY FOIA

⁴⁹ Exhibit 2-S United States Senate Ethics Committee

⁵⁰ Exhibit 2-HH OGE.GOV RESPONSE TO LETTER 2-17-2004

⁵¹ Exhibit 2-II ABCTV4 WCIV CHARLESTON SC MANAGEMENT CENSORS CHARLESTON RACIAL SCANDAL 2-13-2004

The multiple decade radio broadcasting career of "Lee Kent" Hempfling was over. No one responded to ad inquiries across the country. Patricia Thompson tried 52 .

Multi-Agency cooperation meant every attempt made to find a solution was met with a dead end.

A conspiracy to defraud the United States took place in a fraudulently created extorted settlement agreement that benefitted the broadcast ownership of all three companies as the FCC complaint⁵³ of lowering power during every morning program should have revoked the broadcast licenses of all LM Communication properties immediately. The FCC, the FBI, the DOJ Civil Rights Division all worked together to destroy the Hempfling case and remove it from government processes. Plus, the Hempfling case would go away forever.

ARGUMENT

The 'direct action' perpetrated by Darby, the SC NAACP, the EEOC and Billy C. Sanders disrupted government business in violation of 18 USC 1752(a)(2) with a reckless disregard for consequences. AND:

§ 234.6 - Interfering with agency functions. (a)(c)(d)

Sanders represented the SC NAACP in carrying out the direct action in violation of 18 USC 205 Activities of officers and employees in claims against and other matters affecting the Government.

Page | 10
PETITION FOR REHEARING

⁵² Exhibit 2-NN PATRICIA THOMPSON RECOMMENDATION 8/1/2002

⁵³ Exhibit 2-T FCC Complaint (Ignored 4 times)

Since no one ever acts for free: 18 U.S. Code § 208 - Acts affecting a personal financial interest

5 CFR § 2635.502 - Personal and business relationships. (a) not impartial, 18 U.S. Code § 872 - Extortion by officers or employees of the United States among others.

The press release Exhibit 2-A Press release: 2/9/2004 Criminal Corruption and Coverup In The Administration of the NAACP Back Door Into The EEOC Controls Justice. Radio Ownership Files Fraudulent EEO Report is presented here for the first time.

What started as a simple act of doing a job, hiring staff, rebuilding a failed radio station format, adhering to FCC regulations all while dealing writing and performing a morning radio show, with the morning show having its transmitter power reduced to nearly nothing to damage ratings: became much more.

The FBI, the DOJ, the EEOC and the FCC were all coordinated to eliminate the loose ends. This systemic corruption is still in place and has undoubtedly been continuously active ever since and before in many more topics than just an EEOC case. Since all of the law violations committed during the extortion scheme have far surpassed applicable statutes of limitations:

"Lee Kent Hempfling is the plaintiff in 21 allegations of federal and state felony counts submitted to: John Ashcroft, R. Alexander Acosta USDOJ Civil Rights Division, Henry McMaster, SC Attorney General, Robert S. Mueller, Director of FBI, Governor Mark Sanford of SC, Cari M. Dominguez, Chair of the EEOC, J. Strom Thurmond, United States Attorney for SC, Michael Powell, Chair of the FCC, Glenn A. Fine, Inspector General, and Roy Cooper NC Attorney General.

Page | 11 PETITION FOR REHEARING in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

"Each of these people received the document demanding justice. But that was only after Senator Lindsey Graham's office spent five months covering up the allegations of corruption between the EEOC and the South Carolina NAACP, eventually sending them to the accused (the EEOC)."

Hempfling included Richard Perry, Senator Graham's Chief of Staff and Jean Price of Graham's Mt Pleasant SC office in charges of obstructing justice in refusal to forward allegations to the justice department."

"It started after Hempfling was fired from his job as Program Director of WCOO (FM) in Charleston S.C. He had spent the previous months trying to hire an African-American female to a full time job. Patricia Thompson, before Hempfling arrived had been passed over for promotion, paid far less than other part-timers and suffered under discrimination at the station.

Hempfling filed a complaint directly with the EEOC."

"Thompson, mother of [former]University of South Carolina Defensive End (#91) Moe Thompson settled her case with WCOO, L.M. Communications Inc., (Lynn Martin of Lexington, KY) in May 2003, after Sanders had canceled Hempfling's fact-finding meeting."

Crimes detailed in Exhibit 2-D:

U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 63 Sec. 1343 inside Exhibit 2-D (Fraud by wire, radio, or television);

U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 1 Sec. 1. Sec. 2. inside Exhibit 2-D (Aiding and Abetting);

U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 19 Sec. 371 inside Exhibit 2-D (Conspiracy to commit offense or to defraud United States);

U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 13 Sec. 241 inside Exhibit 2-D (Conspiracy against rights);

Page | 12 PETITION FOR REHEARING in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

⁵⁴ Exhibit 2-A Press release: 2/9/2004 Criminal Corruption and Coverup In The Administration of the NAACP Back Door Into The EEOC Controls Justice. Radio Ownership Files Fraudulent EEO Report.

- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 13 Sec. 242 inside Exhibit 2-D (Deprivation of rights under color of law);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 13 Sec. 245 inside Exhibit 2-D (Federally protected activities);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 47 Sec. 1017 (Government seals wrongfully used and instruments wrongfully sealed);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 47 Sec. 1001. (False Statements Statements or entries generally);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 73 Sec. 1512. inside Exhibit 2-D (Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 73 Sec. 1505. inside Exhibit 2-D inside Exhibit 2-D inside Exhibit 2-D (Obstruction of proceedings before departments, agencies, and committees);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 95 Sec. 1957. inside Exhibit 2-D (Engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from specified unlawful activity);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 101 Sec. 2071. inside Exhibit 2-D (Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally of RECORDSAND REPORTS);
- U.S. Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII), inside Exhibit 2-D; ENFORCEMENT PROVISIONS SEC. 2000e-5. [Section 706] (b),(I (1));
- S.C.: Title 16 CHAPTER 5. Sec. 16-5-10 inside Exhibit 2-D (Conspiracy against civil rights.);
- S.C.: Title 16 CHAPTER 5. Sec, 16-5-20 inside Exhibit 2-D (Punishment for commission of additional crimes.);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 41 Sec. 872 inside Exhibit 2-D (Extortion by officers or employees of the United States)' U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 41 Sec. 875 inside Exhibit 2-D (Interstate communications);
- U.S. TITLE 18 PART I CHAPTER 1 Section 3 inside Exhibit 2-D (Accessory after the fact); N.C. ARTICLE 60 Computer-Related Crime. [RTF] § 14-457. inside Exhibit 2-D (Extortion);

Page | 13 PETITION FOR REHEARING in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

N.C. ARTICLE 30 – Obstructing Justice.; [RTF] § 14-221.2. inside Exhibit 2-D (Altering court documents or entering unauthorized judgments);

N.C. ARTICLE 20 – Frauds. [RTF] § 14-118.4. inside Exhibit 2-D (Extortion)

Not only was the Hempfling EEOC case sacrificed in the illegal undue influence inspired settlement created by EEOC at the direction of the SC NAACP: it was also shown in the press release to be the commission of federal offenses for government functions being controlled by an outside undue influence⁵⁵, through a government employee owing loyalty to the NAACP before his office. Convenient that the other systemic scheme in existence, and exposed in this case is invoked at the knowledge of law violations. Taking jurisdiction away from the civil proceedings for criminal processes and then locking it away in the vault of 'never to be seen again': is simply not this nation.

While Petitioner's cases are hidden from view, final results not known, unable to appeal by right if necessary: the greater justice demands action. Corruption in the Fourth Circuit, the Ninth Circuit, The Arizona Superior Court in Pinal County and elsewhere continues.

Two years after the Fourth Circuit case was blocked, the Clerk was asked what the status was. The response was a redacted line letter⁵⁶.

In this and the original petition the court is presented with first hand, direct evidence of executive branch, nationwide, systemic corruption and for the first time known, the legal ability to solve the problem. At least in these topics:

Page | 14 PETITION FOR REHEARING in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

^{55 16} CFR § 1107.24 - Undue influence.

⁵⁶ Exhibit 2-QQ REDACTED CLERK LETTER

SYSTEMIC: EEOC: controlled by the NGO. FBI: controlled by the NGO. FCC: controlled by the NGO. USDOJ Civil Rights Division: controlled by the NGO.

Government is controlled by outside undue influence.

SYSTEMIC: Prosecutors have a built-in cloaking mechanism for cases they would rather, for one reason or another, not bother with that has no recourse in law and brings due process to a halt.

SYSTEMIC: The USPS is infiltrated with a scheme to read or misdirect legal mail. And it has 'defunded' its police force and sidelined crime mapping technology just before an election based in mail in ballots.

In The August 2019 US Courts Rule of Law why it matters series: Chief Judge Scott W. Skavdahl, Chief United States District Judge for the District of Wyoming most appropriately described why the rule of law matters: "I can get up in the morning and know the dollar will be accepted down the street at the grocery store. I can go to the post service and expect that I can retrieve my mail, and that someone won't take it or that someone won't view it without my permission."57 In theory. Until this case is granted and the public is informed of the corruption inside the USPS, Judge Skavdahl has much to be concerned about.

SYSTEMIC: the vigilante copyright power on the Internet in Lumen Database usurps judicial authority. "The Lumen database collects and analyzes legal complaints and requests for removal of online materials, helping Internet users to know their rights and understand the law. These data enable us to study the prevalence of legal threats and let Internet

⁵⁷ https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2019/08/08/judges-explain-rule-law-why-it-matters

users see the source of content removals."⁵⁸ "The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents, plant variety protection, copyrights and trademarks." ⁵⁹ But not on the Internet. The Judicial Branch HAS BEEN REPLACED.

SYSTEMIC: State Actors are taking orders to censor protected speech.

This censorship is at the direction of state and federal executive officers.

Has Government decided to ignore the 1st Amendment completely?

SYSTEMIC: The Judicial Branch itself has been censored.

A reason exists why a medical (dental) malpractice suit has not been finished. Whomever bribed clerks to hide filings should solve that.

Corruption was alive and well 2 decades ago. It has continued unabated to this day. A 'hide a case scheme' exists on both coasts of the nation and most likely completely between, as the issues apply to all prosecutors. A case mentioned in the first In Forma Pauperis application in Pinal County Justice Court is in the exact same condition as the other cases. A prosecutor has decided NOT to do anything and nobody knows it and nobody can do anything about it. The court in that case was the victim of wiretapping of the proceeding in an eviction case already found, a month before, to have probable cause for extortion by the Apache Junction Police. Nothing has come of it. A 'read your opponent's mail' scheme exists. A 'misdirect mail' scheme exists. A 'hide legal documents from the public with search censorship' scheme exists.

ALL OF THIS CORRUPTION HAS TO STOP!!!

Page | 16
PETITION FOR REHEARING

in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

⁵⁸ https://lumendatabase.org/

⁵⁹ 28 U.S. Code § 1338 - Patents, plant variety protection, copyrights, mask works, designs, trademarks, and unfair competition. The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents, plant variety protection, copyrights and trademarks.

There is no other means to stop this corruption than PUBLIC knowledge that it exists. There is no such knowledge in the public awareness now other than the public's perception:

June 2022: "More than one quarter of US residents feel so estranged from their government that they feel it might 'soon be necessary to take up arms' against it⁶⁰, a poll released on Thursday claimed.

This survey of 1,000 registered US voters, published by the University of Chicago's Institute of Politics (IOP), also revealed that most Americans agree the government is 'corrupt and rigged against everyday people like me'."⁶¹

"Until someone is prepared to lay out the systemic problem, we will simply go through cycles of finding corruption, finding a scapegoat, eliminating the scapegoat, and relaxing until we find the next scandal." 62

Newt Gingrich

Rehearing this case on the merits with all new evidence and attachments is not only appropriate it is necessary for the nation.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

⁶⁰ A sobering and sad commentary and infuriating in the thought alone.

 $^{^{61}\} https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2022/jun/30/poll-americans-guns-against-government$

 $^{^{62}}$ https://www.brainyquote.com/quotes/newt_gingrich_753899?src=t_corruption $Page \mid 17$

REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING

Granting rehearing will make the nation aware of deep seated and longstanding corruption schemes that are still active today inside government agencies, controlled by outside NGOs.

Granting rehearing will hold corrupt actors accountable⁶³ even if statutes of limitations have expired. At least present-day actors will think twice. Without knowing these schemes exist, they cannot be stopped.

Granting rehearing will divulge the actions and crimes depicted in evidence.

Granting rehearing will focus attention on solving corruption.

Granting rehearing will protect the rights of individuals and the sanctity and integrity of the Judicial Branch.

There is no other means of correction.

Page | 18 PETITION FOR REHEARING in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et.ux.

 $^{^{63}}$ https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/03/29/fact-sheet-implementing-the-united-states-strategy-on-countering-corruption-accomplishments-and-renewed-commitment-in-the-year-of-action/

CONCLUSION

The Court should grant the Petition for Rehearing, consider this Petition together with the original Petition, and grant mandamus in this case. Thereby protecting the rights of individuals and protecting the nation from resistance to lawful authority.

Respectfully submitted,

May 1, 2023

Lee Kent Hempfling, Pro Se Suesie Kent Hempfling, Pro Se PO BOX 4291 Apache Junction, AZ 85178 lkh@leehempfling.com 480-791-8620

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL OF RECORD

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, the Pro-Se Counsel of record certifies that this Petition is restricted to the grounds specified in the Rule with substantial grounds not previously presented. Counsel certifies that this Petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

May 1, 2023

Lee Kent Hempfling, Pro Se Counsel of Record PO BOX 4291 Apache Junction, AZ 85178 lkh@leehempfling.com 480-791-8620