

# PATRIOTISM!

The solution to our country is simple. Be American.  
The solution to our country's problems lies in our motto.  
NOT the one added to the money. This nation's motto is  
and always has been: **e Pluribus Unum** (Out of many, One)  
sums up the entire American Experiment. Democrats seek to  
divide by race and religion and any other fake difference  
they can: as power is only attainable when the majority isn't looking.

## REPORTER'S GUIDE

Long standing corruption in government. Caught. Exposed.  
Federal Prosecutor Crimes. Postal Service Crimes. Corruption.  
Prosecutorial Discretion (watch out!)  
DOJ Schemes in place to defraud the public for decades.

It took 7 revised submissions of the petition before the Court accepted the case.  
Started in 2021, submitted until April 2022. Accepted. This is civil **AND CRIMINAL**.  
We are prohibited from PACER access to see any of our cases as a few years ago  
PACER decided to bill us for thousands of cases opened from one click that should  
not have happened. Code error. They refuse to correct it. Wonder why.

Before embarking on this journey take a moment and access this statement. [HERE!](#)

## THE UNITED STATES JUDICIARY BRANCH HAS BEEN CENSORED BY A STATE!!!

Disclosing the hidden URL blocking mechanism used by Google and Lumen Database to  
control speech on the Internet.

"A 'hide a case scheme' exists on both coasts of the nation and most likely completely  
between, as the issues apply to all prosecutors. A case mentioned in the first In Forma  
Pauperis application in Pinal County Justice Court is in the exact same condition as the  
other cases. A prosecutor has decided NOT to do anything and nobody knows it and  
nobody can do anything about it. The court in that case was the victim of wiretapping of

the proceeding in an eviction case already found, a month before, to have probable cause for extortion by the Apache Junction Police. Nothing has come of it. A 'read your opponent's mail' scheme exists. A 'misdirect mail' scheme exists. A 'hide legal documents from the public with search censorship' scheme exists.

## ALL OF THIS CORRUPTION HAS TO STOP!!!

CASE	DATES	DEFENDANTS	ISSUES
ABOUT AND BACKGROUND			<a href="#">DATA</a>
HEMPFLING v. CVDC HOLDINGS CANYON VISTA DENTAL CARE ET AL	6/6/2011	John A Bigler, James A Bourne, James R Bourne, Elwynn Caffall, Peggy Caffall, Trevor Caffall, Wynn C Caffall, Canyon Vista Dental Care Llc, Cvd Care Llc, Cvdc Holdings Llc, John A Bigler Dds, Trevor Caffall Dds, Wpf Holdings Llc, Wynn Caffall Dds Pc	<a href="#">Data</a> <a href="#">Press</a> <a href="#">Release</a>
HEMPFLING v. STANFORD	11-10-2015	Amanda Stanford Clerk of Court (resigned), Lynn Hurley. This case	<a href="#">Data</a>
HEMPFLING v. L.M. COMMUNICATINS ET AL	5/3/2004	<u>Original placeholder.</u> This location ( <a href="#">click here</a> ) used to have the case. L.M. Communications (Lexington KY), L.M. Communications of South Carolina, L.M. Communications of South Carolina II.	<a href="#">DATA</a>

<p><b>HEMPFLING v. VOLKMER</b></p>	<p>9-21-2016</p>	<p>Started as Hempfling v. Voyles changes to Hempfling v. Volkmer 1-12-2017. Sued Pinal County Attorney by position, Arizona Attorney General by position and a retired Pinal County Judge who has NO involvement in the crimes committed during this trial. When this case was expected to be completed, and an order was going to be issued GOOGLE censored The 9th Circuit Court's order. It was found in Google from the Government Printing office but NOT from the court. THAT IS CENSORSHIP OF A FEDERAL COURT BY A STATE. Brnovivh obviously expected to lose as there was no reason to censor a dismissal. And now, where a search in <u>BING</u> returns about 23,900 results, <u>Google</u> returns 33. Gee, ya think Google's ticked?</p>	<p><u>DATA</u></p>
<p><b>in Re: LEE KENT HEMPFLING et Ux.</b></p>	<p>2-9-2023</p>	<p>A few days ago a search for the SCOTUS case name, returned thousands of Google results. Now, <u>See for yourself</u>  U.S. Attorney Michael G. Bailey, Arizona Attorney General Mark Brnovich, Pinal County Attorney Kent Volkmer, Pinal County Clerk of Court Amanda Stanford, Garland Shreves, Chief of Staff to Pinal County Attorney. Assistant Arizona Attorney General Karen J. Hartman-Tellez. Assistant Arizona Attorney General Pamela Linnens. Pinal County Assistant Attorney Kevin Costello. Larry Page of Google/Alphabet. Sergey Brin of Google/Alphabet. Sundar Pichai of Google/Alphabet. Eric Schmidt of Google/Alphabet. Wendy Seltzer founder and developer of Chilling</p>	<p><u>Data</u></p>

Effects.Arcadia, a charitable fund of Lisbet Rausing and Peter Baldwin.Megan J. Brennan Postmaster General.Mark Dimondstein President of the American Postal Workers Union.The Office of Attorney General of Arizona.The Office of County Attorney Pinal County Arizona.Alphabet - Google.Lumen Database.The United States Postal Service.Buist, Moore, Smythe & McGee, P.A.L. Greg Horton of Buist, Moore, Smythe & McGee, P.A.William Choice Cleveland III of Buist, Moore, Smythe & McGee, P.A.L.M. COMMUNICATIONS INC.,L.M. COMMUNICATIONS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.,L.M. COMMUNICATIONS II OF SOUTH CAROLINA, INC.,Lynn Martin ,Charles Cohn ,William Allen of Gess Mattingly & Atchison, P.S.C.,Gess Mattingly & Atchison, P.S.C.,Billy C. Sanders EEOC Program Manager,Patricia Thompson,Robert Mueller, while Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation,Michael Powell, while Director of the Federal Communications Commission,J. Strohm Thurmond, former U.S. Attorney for South Carolina,Carrie Dominguez, former Director of the Equal Opportunity Employment Commission (EEOC),Horace Julian Bond (deceased through estate and heirs) former Chairman of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP),Reverend Joseph Darby

		former First Vice-President of the South Carolina NAACP, Dwight C. James Sr. – Executive Director of the South Carolina NAACP, Thomas E. O’Neill former Chief Division Counsel FBI Columbia South Carolina, John McCain, Lindsey Graham	
--	--	---	--

---

**BONUS: HOW GOOGLE CENSORS AT GOVERNMENT DIRECTION.  
Copyright Strikes And The Return of the Klan. How Google Censors URLs!**

---

**Disclosing the corrupt mail interception process used by unscrupulous individuals and The State of Arizona.**

Involving The US Postal Service, Google Search Engine, SC NAACP, NAACP, FBI, DOJ Civil Rights Division, FCC, EEOC, Prosecutor Corruption, Mark Brnovich; Former Arizona Attorney General, Susan Brnovich District Judge of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, Michael G. Bailey former Chief of Staff for Brnovich, former U.S. Attorney, Cynthia J. Bailey Circuit Judge Arizona Court of Appeals, US. Postal Inspectors and Constitutional questions far reaching in scope in both the 4th and 9th Circuits.

Questions Presented

1: Whether the listed state and federal court actions are parallel to any legally invoked criminal investigation of prosecution stemming from crimes reported inside and around the listed cases and whether those listed cases should be released from any hold placed on them for abandonment: a failure to prosecute.

2: Whether civil courts reporting crimes taking place against them, and the courts that maintain jurisdiction over them should be ordered to publicly complete the process of the within cases, given no common facts, no common parties and no common relationship to the crimes committed against the respective courts: plus the reasons set forth herein.

**3: What constitutes censorship on the Internet?**

4: What is protected speech in regards to the Judicial Branch? If what a court says is not protected speech nothing can be protected speech. A court ordering freedom of speech could be silenced if the court's product is not protected speech.

The Fourth Circuit case from the Charleston District Court involved employment discrimination: being fired for trying to hire a black female full time.[2] [3] [4] [5] [6]. The counterclaim was never addressed by the court.

A rule 62 violation took place in the order issued March 25th, 2014 in Arizona Superior Court in Pinal County, in that it based its authority on the Mandate of the special action[7] [8].

No final order, or a ruling on the default motion has ever issued[9] [10].

---

# DATA

## HEMPFLING V. L.M. COMMUNICATINS ET AL

1. [HEMPFLING V LM COMMUNICATIONS](#)
2. [The Rule of Law](#)
3. [About this case](#)
4. [Case Details District Court](#)
5. [Status 4th Circuit Appeal](#)
6. [Inspector General](#)
7. [INFORMATION FOR DEMAND FOR GRAND JURY INVESTIGATION IN SOUTH CAROLINA RACE BASED DIRECT ACTION CASE](#)
8. [THE LINDSEY GRAHAM FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST THAT WAS IGNORED IN 2004](#)
9. [South Carolina FBI Field Office Covers Up Federal Offenses In RACE BASED DIRECT ACTION CASE](#)
10. [The NAACP's Back Door to the EEOC](#)
11. [Hempfling v. L.M. Communications Complaint](#)
12. [THE INCRIMINATING REFERENCE LETTER OF TRISH THOMPSON](#)
13. [Fourth Circuit All Case Files Archive Collection](#)
14. [LISTEN: AUDIO OF A MEETING WHERE ADMISSION IS MADE OF ILLEGAL ACTS](#)
15. [LISTEN: THIS IS THE MIDDAY TALENT I WAS TRYING TO HIRE. A BLACK FEMALE REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE SHE WAS BLACK!](#)
16. [What Deep State National Security Threat ended my Radio Career?](#)
17. [The Original 2004 Law Suit LM Communications DEEP STATE](#)
18. [Complaint Before The Federal Communications Commission](#)
19. [FBI COMPLAINT](#)
20. [DENTAL MALPRACTICE, FRAUD AND EMBEZZLEMENT JUNE 6, 2011](#)
21. [MOTION FOR STAY AND IMMEDIATE JUDGEMENT 2011](#)

22. [PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION 2013 AZ Appeals](#)
23. [DENTAL MALPRACTICE, FRAUD AND EMBEZZLEMENT JUNE 6, 2011](#)
24. [DENTAL BOARD COMPLAINT](#)
25. [MOTION FOR STAY AND IMMEDIATE JUDGEMENT 2011](#)
26. [South Carolina FBI Field Office Covers Up Federal Offenses In RACE BASED DIRECT ACTION CASE](#)
27. [LISTEN: THIS IS THE MIDDAY TALENT I WAS TRYING TO HIRE. A BLACK FEMALE REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE SHE WAS BLACK!](#)
28. [LISTEN: AUDIO OF A MEETING WHERE ADMISSION IS MADE OF ILLEGAL ACTS](#)
29. [THE INCRIMINATING REFERENCE LETTER OF TRISH THOMPSON](#)
30. [THE CRIMINAL COMPLAINT THAT STARTED IT ALL \\* DEEP STATE SHADOW GOVERNMENT AND THE NAACP](#)

[Go To Top](#)

## HEMPFLING V. CVDC HOLDINGS CANYON VISTA DENTAL CARE ET AL

1. [Lee Kent Hempfling v. Department of Justice - in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et. ux.](#)
2. [Superior Court Fraud Embezzlement Malpractice 2011 Case](#)
3. [OPEN LETTER TO ARIZONA POLITICIANS FRANK PRATT AND T.J. SHOPE](#)
4. [The DOJ responds](#)
5. [DENTAL MALPRACTICE, FRAUD AND EMBEZZLEMENT JUNE 6, 2011](#)
6. [MOTION FOR STAY AND IMMEDIATE JUDGEMENT 2011](#)
7. [PETITION FOR SPECIAL ACTION 2013 AZ Appeals](#)
8. [Clinical Notes Diary Review](#)
9. [DENTAL BOARD COMPLAINT](#)

[Go To Top](#)

## HEMPFLING V. STANFORD

1. [Hempfling v Stanford](#)
2. [Federal Lawsuit Uncovers Government Corruption in Arizona County Superior Court Clerk's Office](#)
3. [AMANDA STANFORD VIOLATES 1ST AMENDMENT AND COURT RULING](#)
4. [Press Release Full Hempfling v Stanford](#)
5. [Press Release Short Hempfling v Stanford](#)
6. [Press Release COSC](#)
7. [ARIZONA DOWN: AMANDA STANFORD RESIGNS AS PINAL COUNTY CLERK OF COURT](#)
8. [FOR THE LOVE OF THIS COUNTRY ! The ARIZONA DEEP STATE CONNECTION!](#)

## HEMPFLING V. VOLKMER

1. [In The Spirit of Equal Opportunity BULLSHIT: Kent Volkmer's BACKGROUNDER](#)
2. [The Significance of Google's STOPPING Censorship of the US Courts](#)

3. [GOOGLE CAUGHT CENSORING THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA](#)
4. [FEDERAL COURTS ATTACKED IN STATE SPONSORED CRIMINAL ACTS WHILE THE DOJ HIDES THE CRIMES AND IGNORES THE EVIDENCE](#)
5. [The Alphabeti Prophecy](#)
6. [The Video The Alphabeti Prophecy](#)
7. [GOOGLE CAUGHT CENSORING THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA](#)
8. [UNCOVERING THE SECRET GOOGLE WORLD OF CORRUPT SEARCH CENSORSHIP](#)
9. [GOOGLE IS AT IT AGAIN! THIS TIME "GARLAND SHREVES" CENSORS SEARCH RESULTS](#)
10. [The Significance of Google's STOPPING Censorship of the US Courts](#)
11. [With the Upcoming Revelation of what GOOGLE has Really Been Doing... UNVEILING THE EVIL.](#)
12. [Search the Censorship](#)
13. [GOOGLE: THIS IS A BALD FACE LIE!](#)
14. [Arizona Libertarian Congressional Candidate Investigates Google News Scandal. Google's Algorithm Gives Them Up!](#)
15. [9th Circuit Cases](#)
16. [Interactive Timeline](#)
17. [GOT RIGHTS? What are YOU Worth?](#)
18. [NO GAG ORDER! ARIZONA: Do I stay quiet or spill what I know?](#)
19. [Who is Garland Shreves and Why is he Moonlighting as Chief of Staff to Kent Volkmer?](#)
20. [Why Garland Shreves is Moonlighting as Chief of Staff for Kent Volkmer in Pinal County Arizona](#)
21. [What You Do NOT KNOW!](#)
22. [KENT VOLKMER](#)
23. [DEMAND THAT KENT VOLKMER RESIGN AS PINAL COUNTY ATTORNEY!](#)
24. [UPDATE: DEMAND PINAL COUNTY ATTORNEY KENT VOLKMER RESIGN!](#)
25. [In The Spirit of Equal Opportunity BULLS T: Kent Volkmer's BACKGROUNDER](#)
26. [MICHAEL G. BAILEY](#)
27. [WHISTLE-BLOWER COMPLAINT FILED 9/25/2019 DOJ OIG CRIMINAL COVERUP IN ARIZONA](#)
28. [MARK BRNOVICH](#)
29. [Mark Brnovich MUST RESIGN NOW!](#)
30. [March 25, 2014 Prosecutorial Misconduct FIVE YEARS LATER](#)
31. [ARIZONA: What is the difference between conflict of interest and obstruction of justice?](#)
32. [ARIZONA STATE GOVERNMENT ORDERED CENSORSHIP AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE WITH GOOGLE](#)
33. [Chief Law Enforcement Officer SHOULD BE IN FEDERAL PRISON SINCE APRIL 2018](#)
34. [ONLY IN AMERICA](#)
35. [Case Advisory](#)
36. [Must Have Hit A Nerve](#)
37. [Case Background](#)
38. [9th Circuit COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR PERMANENT PREVENTIVE INJUNCTION AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT](#)
39. [Why Garland Shreves is Moonlighting as Chief of Staff for Kent Volkmer in Pinal County Arizona](#)
40. [Timeline Court Cases 2009 to Today](#)
41. [Who's Who in Hempfling V. Volkmer](#)
42. [Federal Lawsuit: Government Corruption in Arizona Pinal County Court Clerk's Office](#)
43. [The big #PinalCounty news so far this week has been:](#)
44. [Pinal County 60 Day Notice](#)
45. [EXPOSING Operation High Grid?](#)

46. [NO GAG ORDER: Read the actual court docket document that ends ARIZONA political careers!](#)

[Go To Top](#)

## IN RE: LEE KENT HEMPFLING ET UX.

1. [The NAACP's Back Door to the EEOC](#)
2. [The Epidemic of Rogue Prosecutors IS NOT a new thing! What The Attorney General Knows!](#)
3. [Justice Department Freedom of Information \(FOIA\) Filed Today](#)
4. [Hempfling v. Department of Justice : Correspondence](#)
5. [CRIMINAL RICO: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968](#)
6. [So What IS a RICO CIVIL SUIT and WHO IS BEING CHARGED?](#)
7. [Prosecutorial Blind Eye](#)
8. [Prosecutorial Dereliction](#)
9. [The Justice Department Needs A Kick In The Ass](#)
10. [Open Letter to the People of Arizona from one of the ONLY NON-CORRUPT Republican Politicians in Arizona: Representative Paul Gosar](#)
11. [The Simple Argument for Release of Captured Cases \(Pay Attention DOJ\)](#)
12. [The U.S. Post Office Criminal Theft Ring NOW WITH VIDEO](#)
13. [Deputy Postmaster General FIRED!!!! Postmaster General Forced to Retire](#)
14. [GOOGLE DESPERATELY TRIES TO DISTANCE FROM EVIL](#)
15. [Prosecutorial Misconduct Epidemic Bears Rotten Fruit](#)
16. [PRESS RELEASE: COMPLAINT FILED WITH ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK GARLAND OVER ARIZONA MISPRISION COVERUP](#)
17. [OFFICIAL COMPLAINT AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR SYSTEMIC CONSTITUTIONAL MISPRISION VIOLATIONS](#)
18. [Justice Department Freedom of Information \(FOIA\) Filed Today](#)
19. [Google's Anti-Trust Problem is Bigger Than You Think](#)
20. [CORRUPTION](#)  
[THE LINDSEY GRAHAM FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST THAT WAS IGNORED IN 2004](#)
21. [The U.S. Post Office Criminal Theft Ring NOW WITH VIDEO](#)
22. [Like They Didn't Think They Would Be Caught: Bing! UPDATE!](#)
23. [MICHAEL G. BAILEY: U.S. ATTORNEY VIOLATES BAD FAITH DOCTRINE!](#)
24. [The Internet: The Anti-Trust Modern Day Slavery Plantation.](#)
25. [WikiPedia SCRUB WATCH : SCRUBBED IN JUST AN HOUR](#)
26. [While the DOJ OIG is looking into OBSTRUCTION ALLEGATIONS](#)
27. [ARIZONA: What is the difference between conflict of interest and obstruction of justice?](#)
28. [OFFICIAL COMPLAINT AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR SYSTEMIC CONSTITUTIONAL MISPRISION VIOLATIONS](#)
29. [PRESS RELEASE: COMPLAINT FILED WITH ATTORNEY GENERAL MERRICK GARLAND OVER ARIZONA MISPRISION COVERUP](#)
30. [Hempfling v. Department of Justice : Evidence](#)
31. [SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES DOCKET 22-6734 \(Don't laugh there appears to be no other way possible to hide content and not make a rukus doing it. NOTHING was filed on the first of May.\)](#)
32. [The Supreme Court's First Criminal Case](#)
33. [The Constitution And Bill of Rights](#)

34. [CRIMINAL RICO: 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968](#)
35. [Corruption Category](#)
36. [The Second Petition: THE CRIMES IN SYSTEMIC CORRUPTION](#)
37. [FINALLY! THE EVIDENCE HIDDEN AT SCOTUS](#)
38. [RICO DEFENDANTS](#)
39. [UPDATED: BOMBSHELL NEWS COMING SOON!](#)
40. [Deputy Postmaster General FIRED!!!! Postmaster General Forced to Retire](#)
41. [The U.S. Post Office Criminal Theft Ring NOW WITH VIDEO](#)
42. [SCANDAL The U.S. Postal Service: Where is the press conference announcing the busting up of a nationwide bribery ring INSIDE THE POSTAL SERVICE?](#)
43. [Post Office Laws You NEED to Know](#)
44. [Where did all the ballots go?](#)
45. [HOW MAIL IN BALLOTS ARE STOLEN](#)
46. [The United States Post Office Scandal: Is Google hiding the crimes?](#)
47. [The United States Postal Service MUST be Privatized](#)
48. [After The DC Announcement Mark Brnovich crawls out from under his rock](#)
49. [Terry Goddard Strikes Again AZAG 'The PEOPLE'S Lawyer'?](#)
50. [GOOGLE IS AT IT AGAIN! THIS TIME "GARLAND SHREVES" CENSORS SEARCH RESULTS](#)

[Go To Top](#)

## ABOUT

1. [About](#)
2. ["Lee Kent" Hempfling Resume & History](#)
3. [Family Lineage](#)
4. [Image Gallery](#)
5. [WRITING](#)
6. [Multiple Legal Cases Interactive Timeline](#)
7. [Hear The Heartbeat The Real Lesson From Christmas](#)
8. [Words Blog](#)
9. [BROADCASTING](#)
10. [PUBLICATIONS](#)
11. [More Images \(Science Stuff\)](#)
12. [LEGAL](#)
13. [JUSTICE](#)
14. [How To Prove Republican Politicians and Pundits are Stupid!](#)
15. [Lee Kent Hempfling v. Department of Justice - in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et. ux.](#)
16. [Science](#)
17. [Arizona](#)
18. [SCOTUS](#)
19. [in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et. ux. SCOTUS 22-6734](#)
20. [Case Finder: Quick Searches](#)
21. [Press Coverage](#)
22. [NEWS WATCH](#)
23. [Stolen Justice USA](#)
24. [COMPLAINT TO DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL](#)
25. [HOW TO SAVE AND REGAIN FREEDOM OF THE PRESS](#)
26. [Why We Are Divided](#)

27. [OPEN LETTER TO THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S INVESTIGATION DIVISION AND DONALD J. TRUMP](#)
  28. [MARK BRNOVICH Files DIVERSIONARY LAWSUIT Against GOOGLE in STATE COURT!](#)
  29. [Tort Reform that will completely fix what ails American Justice.](#)
  30. [What do you Think Happens When a Federal Appeals Court Becomes the Victim of a Crime](#)
  31. [Government Censorship Tucker Carlson May 19, 2020 w/ Harmeet Dhillon Civil Rights Attorney](#)
  32. [HOW MAIL IN BALLOTS ARE STOLEN](#)
  33. [UPDATED: BOMBSHELL NEWS COMING SOON!](#)
  34. [UPDATED: REST IN PEACE RULE OF LAW 1788 TO 2020](#)
  35. [Post Office Laws You NEED to Know](#)
  36. [How NOT to be a Pro Se Litigant In Court](#)
  37. [Cognitive Immunity: KEEPING YOU IGNORANT!](#)
- 

# Press Release Clerk of Superior Court Case

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Acting Under the Color of State Law Lands the New Pinal County Court Clerk in a Federal Law Suit!

Fear not Pinal County: Your Reputation for Corruption Remains Intact!

**August 10, 2015 Apache Junction, AZ**

“When the public trust is broken, we all suffer and Pinal County serves as no safe harbor for corruption. With transparency and a dedication to finding justice for the people of Arizona, my office holds all individuals accountable for their crimes.”

Pinal County Arizona’s long held reputation as the most corrupt county in the most corrupt state in the Union is safe.

Former Pinal County Manager Fritz Behring, now Scottsdale City Manager “... called Pinal County’s government ‘the most dysfunctional government I’ve ever worked for.’ ”

Former Pinal County Manager Stan Griffis “spent nearly three years in prison for numerous convictions, including stealing more than \$400,000 in highway improvement funds from the county.<sup>1</sup>” The laundry list is only the tip of the iceberg.

In 2009 the Pinal County Recorder hired her daughter’s boyfriend, a convicted felon. He allegedly began stealing checks submitted to the recorder’s office and then turning them over to one of three accomplices as payment for drugs .

In 2009 a Pinal County Superior Court bailiff was arrested for bribery and fixing cases.

In 2011 Family Court Judge and Pinal County Family Law Commissioner, Theresa Ratliff was arrested for domestic violence among other things. Today, she is, still the Family Law Commissioner.

In 2011 the Superior Court was officially notified of a scheme in the County Clerk’s office to fix a civil case.

In 2012 a 40-year-old man tried to bribe a Pinal County Deputy Court Clerk, but he was subjected to a sting from the Sheriff’s office and wound up arrested for trying it.

Pinal County’s history is full of corruption and scandal.

It is no wonder then, that in 2014 when Republican primary voters were offered the opportunity to vote to ‘Bring ETHICS back to GOVERNMENT’ , and were subjected to a litany of slanted local news articles they took the bait and chose a long time Democrat operant.

After being appointed to her position in the Clerk of Court’s office by two Democrat Clerks (Democrats do not appoint Republicans) Amanda Stanford’s last minute, miraculous conversion to Republican, placed her in a primary fight for the Clerk’s position. “GOVERNMENT must be honest stewards of the people’s money,” stated Stanford on her political donation page, “I believe in ETHICS in GOVERNMENT! Help me spread my message of transparency in government.<sup>8</sup>” She was elected by default as the Democrats didn’t have to bother offering a truthful candidate for the position they had held for many years prior.

Amanda Stanford’s first six months on the job as the Pinal County Superior Court Clerk has been anything but ethical.

Stanford converted the Clerk’s office from the steward of court records to a collection agency. Official policy was established finally announced to the public during a Pinal County Board of Supervisor’s financial budget meeting by Stanford’s chief Deputy Lynn Hurley on April 15, 2015 making the focus of the Clerk’s Office; enhancement of the county’s General Fund.

Upon Stanford's arrival at the Clerk's office she found it had been left in disarray, "nearly \$80,000 in random inventory was strewn all over the office<sup>9</sup>" as often happens when a politician is replaced. She quickly levied accusations of 'untraceable inventory' against former Clerk Chad Roche. "Roche, in an email to the Maricopa Monitor, said Stanford should have addressed the issue while in her former position with the department<sup>9</sup>. 'Ms. Stanford was the bookkeeper and manager for three years,' he said. 'If there was an asset management problem, maybe she should have brought it up when it wasn't politically motivated because she was in charge of the purchasing, and supervised the staff who would have been responsible for tracking assets.'<sup>9</sup>"

Stanford unleashed the power of her office to accuse the County Attorney Lando Voyles and former Clerk (Chad Roche, her previous opponent) of illegal cover up. "A severe dereliction of duty' is what Clerk of the Superior Court Amanda Stanford is calling a reported security breach that could impact hundreds of criminal cases in Pinal County. Stanford is alleging her predecessor, Chad Roche, gave the county attorney's office access to files that had been sealed by the court. "

"Roche, meanwhile, hinted at politics. 'The election is over and Mrs. Stanford is now the clerk and I wish her the best but, the most recent breach of sealed records happened after she took office and fired the IT director,' he said in a prepared statement that he said would be his last on the subject. 'Instead of trying to continuously falsely allege wrongdoing under my administration (which she was a part of for nearly the entire time) she should focus on correcting the issues happening under her own administration.'" Her efforts and claims even garnered opinion that claimed, "Stanford, who previously worked in the clerk's office before running against her former boss, Roche, no doubt has faced a backlash because of her efforts to expose wrongdoing. But her work to shed light on some things should bring rewards to Pinal residents in the form of improvements. Sunlight shining on government operations almost always makes things better." Said the editorial .

The Phoenix New Times even declared the newly elected Clerk had accomplished her goal: "Stanford, a GOPer, unseated an incumbent in 2014 and has gone on to restore integrity to what was a badly-managed clerk's office in Pinal County. She's smart, savvy, and easily the most attractive woman in Arizona politics. " This, as they named her the Hottest Woman in Arizona Politics.

The Administrative Office of the Court, upon official review of the cover up allegations "found 6 percent of 732 criminal cases may have been accessed by the County Attorney's Office or the public access terminal without proper authority. The instances of sensitive material being exposed may have been as few as three cases. " Three cases.

There was no 'cover up'. In fact, there was no 'there', there .

County Attorney Lando Voyles was quoted as saying: "I couldn't imagine it could be true. And from what I know of the people who work there, I knew it couldn't be true. There's no reason for me to cheat the system.'<sup>10</sup>"

But then the Phoenix New Times went on to expose Stanford's alleged extracurricular activities. Heather Ojeda accused Stanford of cheating with Ojeda's husband. "Ramon Ojeda, Heather's husband and alleged biting victim, agrees with his wife. He describes Stanford, a 30-year-old Republican politician who beat her former boss in November's election, as a temptress who made advances at him at work at the start of their two-and-a-half-month relationship.<sup>14</sup>"

Stanford denies it all. She never spoke to the press, but she did post on Facebook. "Her statement leaves a few questions unanswered, such as why her phone number appears to show up frequently on Ramon Ojeda's phone records; his wife texted us a few pages.<sup>15</sup>" In the Facebook post Stanford blamed "Mrs. Ojeda's" "own criminal behavior".

In the meantime, that 2011 Civil Superior Court case where the court had to be notified of criminal behavior in the Clerk's office remains unresolved. Four years after a criminal investigation and prosecution should have commenced, the case is still without a final judgment and still without a final order. There has been no activity in the case since March 25, 2014 when Pro Tem Judge Bradley Soos filed a notice-order informing the parties that the Appeals Court had mandated the Special Action and stopping any additional hearings.

The Plaintiffs in that case, Suesie and Lee Hempfling of Apache Junction, Arizona have patiently been attempting to receive answers as to why their case is still pending. It was witnessed as a default, by a Deputy Clerk in 2011. A closed hearing by Superior Court Judge, Boyd T. Johnson was held about that issue in early 2012. The case was assigned to Judge Soos in May of 2012, as the rules required for a case adjudicated in default.

The previous Clerk, Chad Roche, whom the Hempflings had supported in the Republican Primary for Clerk last year had professionally and ethically responded to queries and been as helpful as he could. Jeffrey Handler, Clerk of the Appeals Court Second Division had likewise been professional and ethical and as helpful as he could. But when Mrs. Hempfling wrote to the new Clerk, Amanda Stanford everything was different.

At first Stanford did not respond. When she did, it was 20 days later and no questions were answered at all. Mrs. Hempfling pressed her for a response, attaching copies of Chad Roche's informative correspondence.

The result was reprehensible. Stanford and her Chief Deputy Lynn Hurley had decided the civil case was done. Something a Judge had not done. They imposed a definition on the case that did not apply, they declared the case was finished and no further orders would be issued. They claimed the Appeals Court Mandate was on the case itself, not the Special Action and they illegally imposed Debt Set Off, Tax Intercept Collection proceedings against the Hempflings (both, separately) for filing fees. They did that at least twice. "Perhaps your difficulty lies in not understanding a few legal terms and I will take this opportunity to help you and guide you in your understanding. An 'adjudicated' case means

that it is finished. It is closed. There are no further actions, motions, findings or rulings on an adjudicated matter.”

The Arizona Code Of Conduct for Judicial Employees indicates that a deputy clerk is permitted to provide assistance to Litigants (C) [to] explain legal terms, [but] without providing legal interpretations by applying legal terms and concepts to specific facts . Amanda Stanford and Lynn Hurley, blatantly violated that rule. “As you can see, all that remains is the portion of your payback to the court for fees incurred. Your balance is \$686.00.18”

A deputy clerk told Lee Hempfling on the phone after receipt of Hurley’s email that the internal accounting records showed Lee Hempfling as PAID IN FULL (the clerk was indeed perplexed).

This blatant misuse of authority, under the color of state law, is facilitated by the nearly one and a half year delay in the release of the final order-ruling in the civil case this is in regards to, the over FOUR year period since crimes were reported to have occurred in the Clerk’s office, without prosecution: and is blatant disregard for the law, the written instructions and essentially written testimony of the previous elected Clerk of Court and the Appeals Clerk of Court. Any cursory review of the documents in the Superior Court case on the official docket by a competent person would also indicate the same.

Amanda Stanford, Clerk of the Superior Court and Chief Deputy Lynn Hurley, with the Office of the Pinal County Superior Court Clerk acted under the color of law, through violation of law, rules, and regulations to willfully deprive and cause to be deprived the rights of Plaintiffs under the Fourteenth Amendment’s rights to equal protection and due process under the law and to the rights to protection of property through the Fifth Amendment not to have private property be taken for public use or deprived of private property without due process of law and the rights to be secure from unreasonable seizures afforded by the 4th Amendment<sup>18 19</sup>.

Stanford and Hurley illegally interfered in an ongoing Superior Court case. The Hempflings are in an open and ongoing action in Superior Court. They identified and reported illegal activity within the Pinal County Superior Court Clerk’s office while both Stanford and Hurley were employees thereof and they publicly supported the re-election of the prior Clerk of Court. Stanford and Hurley retaliated for those associations.

Today, a law suit<sup>18</sup> has been filed in The United States District Court For The District Of Arizona for violation of state laws, state rules, state regulations and in violation of Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 Deprivation Of Rights Under Color Of Law; Title 18, U.S.C., Section 245 Federally Protected Activities; Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241 Conspiracy Against Rights; 42 U.S. Code § 1983 – Civil Action for Deprivation Of Rights:

“This matter is serious,” said Lee Hempfling. Malfeasance in office has caused the Hempflings to be reported for debt collection they do not owe. TWICE (or more). To have caused them to have rights violated and prohibitions of personal reprisal violated against them in a CRIMINAL and reprehensible use of official power and authority, under the color of state law. Corruption of this nature cannot be tolerated in any elected office. Amanda Stanford (who touts herself as restoring ethics to the office) and Lynn Hurley, acting under the color of law have misused their official positions to inflict malicious retaliation and punishment, just for asking for information by Pro-Se litigants in an ongoing and active judicial proceeding<sup>17</sup>.

The law suit demands monetary award and equitable relief. The Hempflings are asking the Federal Court to require the removal of the illegal collection activity against them, the revocation of the bond of the Clerk of Court and the immediate removal of both Stanford and Hurley from office.

“I believe in ETHICS in GOVERNMENT! Help me spread my message of transparency in government<sup>8</sup>, .

---

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

# Press Release HEMPFLING v. CVDC HOLDINGS CANYON VISTA DENTAL CARE ET AL

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST FILED WITH THE EEOC

Political Cover-up In South Carolina Deepens

KEYWORDS: Radio Broadcasting Industry, WCOO, Charleston South Carolina, Equal Employment

Opportunity Commission, Federal Communications Commission, Department of Justice, L.M.

Communications Inc., Senator Lindsey Graham

Phoenix, Arizona (March 1, 2004): Today, a Freedom of Information Act request was submitted to the

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Charlotte regional office asking for the release of any

records regarding a Charge filed with the EEOC in August of 2002.

That charge has been ignored by the EEOC and sacrificed in order to provide preferential treatment and confidential settlement for a different charge filed with the EEOC against the same employer and protect the license renewal of that employer: radio station WCOO, Charleston South Carolina. In a letter from the EEOC mailed February 9, 2004, in response to allegations made of twenty one (21) federal offenses surrounding the processing and use of the charge mentioned in the FOIA request, the EEOC failed to recognize that federal offense complaint and arrogantly reassigned the charge to the person charged with federal and state felonies in that complaint. Furthermore, the EEOC letter sought to place the blame for inactivity by EEOC on the plaintiff's submission of documents and evidence. Documents and evidence submitted to the EEOC were extensive and beyond a reasonable doubt, proved in writing, for all assertions and claims. It is known that the EEOC has had written and telephone communications with the office of Senator Lindsey Graham of South Carolina regarding the charge mentioned in the FOIA request. The most recent known correspondence was received by staffer Jean Price of Graham's Mt. Pleasant S.C. office, read to the plaintiff on the phone and promised to have been sent to the plaintiff. No correspondence was ever received regarding that letter from EEOC. After informing staffer Ms. Price that the plaintiff was not satisfied with Graham's office's performance, a blatant disregard for knowledge of federal offenses (itself a federal crime), no further contact has been forthcoming from the Senator. Graham's Chief of Staff Richard Perry was also aware of and in receipt of the knowledge of federal offense and failed or refused to refer it to law enforcement as required by federal statute. The document alleging twenty one (21) federal and state offenses was submitted privately to Cari Dominguez, Chair of the EEOC, along with numerous other federal and state officials. No response has been forthcoming from EEOC regarding the allegations of criminal offenses with the EEOC contained in that document. The only reaction from EEOC was the use of the private and confidential username and password assigned to Cari Dominguez by an unauthorized AT&T dial up account which was caught and banned from accessing the online secure server containing evidence of the allegations.

The office of South Carolina Governor Mark Sanford received and signed for the certified document, but according to Governor's correspondence department a record of its receipt was "not placed in the governor's received mail database". The Governor's office has not returned the call requesting the whereabouts of that

Doc 348

2

document.

The office of South Carolina Attorney General Henry McMaster received and signed for the certified

document, but according to the Attorney General's office representative, Robert McBurley a return call was

to be made informing of the status of the complaint. The South Carolina Attorney General's office has failed to return that call.

The office of North Carolina Attorney General Roy Cooper received and signed for the certified document,

but according to the Attorney General's office representative, Carol Young a return call was to be made

informing of the status of the complaint. The North Carolina Attorney General's office has failed to return that call.

Only the office of United States Attorney J. Strom Thurmond Jr. has acknowledge receipt of the complaint

and has referred it to Tom O'Neill, Chief Division Counsel for the FBI in South Carolina.

About The Case:

During February to March, 2002: a white program director (Hempfling) tried to promote a parttime, black

female disk jockey, (Patricia 'Trish' Thompson), to a full time job: then from March through July of 2002:

tried to hire her back to a full time job, after she resigned because of the radio station's prior discrimination.

Station management refused promotion and hire.

Hempfling was harassed for it and eventually fired by the station for agreeing with and supporting her

rights under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.

Thompson filed a complaint with the EEOC through the NAACP. Hempfling filed a complaint with the

EEOC directly. Thompson's case was settled in May of 2003. Hempfling's case was ignored, then destroyed

by the EEOC in order to: A: Secure her preferential settlement from the radio station, and B: Protect the

radio station's license renewal filing.

The radio station filed fraudulent license renewal and EEO forms with the FCC claiming

Hempfling's case to be for a charge it was not; listed an incorrect case number and informed the FCC that his case had not had further action by the EEOC. L.M. Communications Inc., owner of WCOO also swore to the statement that no complaints were pending before the FCC. A complaint to the FCC for seven rule and law violations was filed with the FCC on August 13, 2002 and resubmitted February 11, 2004. That complaint is now in the hands of Daryl Duckworth of the FCC Enforcement Bureau. A subsequent complaint challenging the authenticity of the station license renewal and EEO form submissions was acknowledged by the FCC on February 11, 2004.

Hempfling's case had indeed seen further action as the EEOC Program Manager who handled both cases met with him in August of 2003 to discuss the case, after months of correspondence in government email.

Graham's office's inquiry caused an instant contact, followed shortly thereafter by a meeting in a hotel lobby with the EEOC Program Manager.

Nearly five months after the inquiry, Senator Graham's office, having been asked twice to forward the case

to the Justice department, sent the complaint letter follow up (not the original complaint) outside of official

government mail to the EEOC Charlotte office. That document contained records of the evidence in the

case and witness contacts and was confidential information. According to staffer Ms. Price, in her words,

she "used my own money" to send the document to EEOC. Although in public record, former prosecutor

Graham should have known better.

In January 2004 Hempfling filed a formal complaint with numerous federal and state officers showing 21

federal felony violations. The Criminal Department of the Civil Rights Division of the Department of

Doc 348

3

Justice did not look at the evidence (which was provided to all recipients through an Internet secure server)

yet ruled the filing did not violate Hempfling's civil rights.

United States Attorney J. Strom Thurmond's office referred the case to the FBI agent in charge in Columbia

SC.

The secure username and password given only to Cari Dominguez of the EEOC wound up

in the hands of  
an unauthorized person who attempted to gain access to the secure server, but was  
caught and stopped.  
The EEOC advised Hempfling that the very person who committed most of the felonies in  
the case had  
been put back in charge of his case. They blamed the reason for any 'delay' in the  
supporting documents  
provided to them. Those documents weighed over two pounds and showed beyond any  
intelligent review  
that a clear cut series of violations had been committed by the radio station, including a  
CD with an audio  
recording.  
To date: no other official who signed for receipt of the complaint has responded to its  
receipt. A coverup  
appears to be underway in South Carolina politics.  
All letters, documents and FOIAs can be viewed at: [http:// www.rollovermartin.com](http://www.rollovermartin.com)  
Detailed evidence remains secured online for law enforcement and legal access only.  
###

---

## THE COUNTERCLAIM PRESS RELEASE

This press release is the subject of the counter claim

### **FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

Exhibit 2-A Press release: 2/9/2004 Criminal Corruption and Coverup In The  
Administration of the NAACP Back Door Into The EEOC Controls Justice. Radio  
Ownership Files Fraudulent EEO Report.

Crime Determines Civil Rights in Charleston SC Radio. Justice Department Cover up.  
Criminal Corruption and Coverup In The Administration of the NAACP Back Door Into  
The EEOC Controls Justice. Radio Ownership Files Fraudulent EEO Report.

Phoenix, AZ (PRWEB) February 9, 2004

On March 7, 2002 President Bush announced a "Ten-Point Plan to Improve Corporate  
Responsibility and Protect America's Shareholders." While the Administration is striking  
out at business, it is covering up corruption within government.

Lee Kent Hempfling is the plaintiff in 21 allegations of federal and state felony counts  
submitted to: John Ashcroft, R. Alexander Acosta USDOJ Civil Rights Division, Henry  
McMaster, SC Attorney General, Robert S. Mueller, Director of FBI, Governor Mark  
Sanford of SC, Cari M. Dominguez, Chair of the EEOC, J. Strom Thurmond, United States  
Attorney for SC, Michael Powell, Chair of the FCC, Glenn A. Fine, Inspector General, and  
Roy Cooper NC Attorney General.

“Each of these people received the document demanding justice. But that was only after Senator Lindsey Graham’s office spent five months covering up the allegations of corruption between the EEOC and the South Carolina NAACP, eventually sending them to the accused (the EEOC).”

Hempfling included Richard Perry, Senator Graham’s Chief of Staff and Jean Price of Graham’s Mt Pleasant SC office in charges of obstructing justice in refusal to forward allegations to the justice department.

It started after Hempfling was fired from his job as Program Director of WCOO (FM) in Charleston S.C. He had spent the previous months trying to hire an African-American female to a full time job. Patricia Thompson, before Hempfling arrived had been passed over for promotion, paid far less than other part-timers and suffered under discrimination at the station. Her complaint, filed with the EEOC stated, “In fact, a white manager tried to get them to hire me to a full time job and they refused and forced him out.” She took her complaint to the South Carolina NAACP.

Hempfling filed a complaint directly with the EEOC.

Page 130 | Appendix Addendum – Evidence: in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et. ux.

The most recent email from EEOC Program Manager Billy Sanders of the Charlotte Regional Office explained the setup, “...the documents in her file don’t mention you in a positive way because she feels you were part of her problem and did not go to bat for her for a full time job so you will need a statement from her to support some of your case.”

Sanders went on to turn Hempfling’s case around, “RE: Harassment you need to know that if they took some type of discipline against the harasser and it ended we might not find a violation of the law despite having the graphic info. But we will cross them bridges when we get to them.”

After sending private secure usernames and passwords to each recipient of the demand for prosecution, an AT&T access account from Charlotte logged in to the secure location (where prosecutors were given the opportunity to view the extensive evidence in the case) using the private credentials of Cari Dominguez, Chair of the EEOC. The access came from Fayetteville NC, obviously not Dominguez.

The Intruder, who could only have received those credentials from inside the Office of the Chair of EEOC did not make it inside the secure server as Dominguez’s name was misspelled in the server. EEOC was banned from access after that incident.

Thompson, mother of University of South Carolina Defensive End (#91) Moe Thompson settled her case with WCOO, L.M. Communications Inc., (Lynn Martin of Lexington, KY) in May 2003, after Sanders had canceled Hempfling’s fact-finding meeting.

L.M. Communications Inc. filed FCC 396, The Broadcast Equal Employment Opportunity Report with the FCC on July 28, 2003. Sanders and the EEOC had never processed, never ruled and never held a fact-finding meeting in Hempfling’s EEOC case and as of his letter of August 20, 2003 was presenting a continuation to Hempfling. Sanders wrote, “I am waiting to hear from their attorney re the Fact Finding Conference. I will be leaving the office shortly and will not be back until next Wednesday so if you need me you can call me on my cell @ (704) 564-xxxx. C U Later “

L.M. Communications’ FCC 3“6 listed Hempfling’s case as “IN LATE 2002, A FORMER EMPLOYEE, LEE HEMPFLING, FILED A COMPLAINT BEFORE THE EEOC (FILE NO. 140A20187) AGAINST WCOO ALLEGING WRONGFUL TERMINATION BECAUSE OF

HIS JEWISH HERITAGE. THE LICENSEE DISPUTES THIS CLAIM. THERE HAS BEEN NO FURTHER ACTION TAKEN BY THE EEOC ON THIS MATTER.”

The case number is not Hempfling’s case number. The accusation was retaliation, not heritage and the EEOC was obviously talking about contacting the broadcaster’s lawyers about a ‘fact-finding’ meeting months after that illegal and

Page 131 | Appendix Addendum – Evidence: in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et. ux.

fraudulent form was filed. Hempfling had suffered 42 different acts of 131 knowledge 131 including receiving a threatening email containing the photo of Yassar Arafat and Palestinian gunmen and an illegal reduction in station power during a rating period.

If the USDOJ had bothered to look at any of the evidence they were given access to they would have found copies of all original documents submitted by Patricia Thompson to the NAACP; a copy of Thompson’s signed and dated original EEOC Form 5 submission; documents and emails written by Thompson, Darby and others showing Sanders’ claims to be fraudulent and the documents contained in the settled case to be tampered with; Thompson’s complaint letters to L.M. Communications showing the truth of her original claims and many other documents proving beyond any doubt that numerous civil rights were violated in this case.

Patricia Thompson had submitted her EEOC complaint to the South Carolina NAACP through The Reverend Joseph Darby and then SCNAACP First V.P. Dwight James. Hempfling had submitted his EEOC complaint directly to EEOC in the mail. It took repeated demands and two months for a confirmation to be sent to Hempfling and then it was dated on a date documents show it was not received on.

Hempfling had submitted a valid complaint of seven FCC rule and law violations to the FCC, three times. Each one was lost and ignored. The EEOC complaint contained a copy of it.

A radio station facing license renewal (filed July 28, 2003) settled a case claiming unequal pay and discriminatory terms and conditions of employment in order to get rid of the much larger case facing them from Hempfling and Billy Sanders of the EEOC claimed in his final correspondence with Hempfling to have taken care of the details.

Civil rights were violated by EEOC, FCC, SCNAACP, L.M. Communications and individuals.

On 2, February 2004 The Justice Department’s Civil Rights Division wrote Hempfling to inform him “We have carefully reviewed the information you furnished.” (The USDOJ never accessed the extensive evidence provided for the case). “However, we have determined that your complaint does not involve a prosecutable violation of federal criminal civil rights statutes.”

In a further insult the USDOJ recommended, “You may wish to contact the nearest legal aid program...”

Hempfling and his wife Suesie, who’s marriage was in a radio station, on the air in Fort Smith Arkansas and covered by CNN over a decade ago, lost everything they

Page 132 | Appendix Addendum – Evidence: in Re: Lee Kent Hempfling et. ux.

had attempting to stay in South Carolina for the ‘fact-finding’ conference. The USDOJ was not advised of that fact.

“We put country above self,” Said Hempfling, “ as we stuck it out as long as we could after we learned Sanders was working for the South Carolina NAACP and was killing my case to

get the African-American's case preferential treatment. It was something my President had asked citizens to do and we felt it was right. It meant we stayed too long. It meant we ran out of money and sold everything we owned in a cheap garage sale, just to have money to drive to a place where a roof might be."

Hempfling continued, "Of all of the Presidents I have lived with in my life, George Bush has been the only one I actually felt love for, but if this is what his Administration does to people who suffer at the hands of a Republican Senator, bureaucrats, a Republican Justice Department and special racially discriminating interests, my wife Suesie and I are two conservative Republicans who insist this Administration either puts a stop to covering up corruption inside this government or is replaced by one that will."

L.M. Communications lied in federal forms and paid a settlement created in fraud after firing a white person for attempting to uphold the rights of a black person. FCC lost and covered up a valid detailed complaint, sent repeatedly, involving regulations and laws. EEOC refused to hear the white person's case and used it to receive a settlement for the African-American's case in order to give preferential treatment to a minority and protect a radio station's license. A United States Senator's office refuses to advise Justice of their knowledge of federal offenses for five months. The Justice Department refuses to look at the evidence and rules it is not a valid civil rights case.

If this is not a violation of civil rights: Americans do not have any.

Not one federal or state prosecutor has acquired the evidence. The only response has been from the Civil Rights Criminal Division (they were sent a copy as well, but it was returned with their public box closed) and US Attorney J. Strom Thurmond will not return Hempfling's telephone call.

Access to the secure site for prosecutors is available to the media by request and agreement to confidentiality.

"Our government has blown us off." Says Hempfling (known as Lee Kent in radio), "My wife and I are STILL wholly against bigotry of any nature. I did the right thing at the station."

More information available at these locations.

